UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,162	08/20/2003	Stephen M. Trimberger	X-1393 US	5769	
24309 XILINX, INC	7590 09/29/200		EXAMINER		
	DEPARTMENT	WONG, LUT			
SAN JOSE, CA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2129		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/644,16	2	TRIMBERGER, STEPHEN M.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		LUT WON	G	2129				
The N Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHICHEVER - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REAL INCOME. IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING me may be available under the provisions of 37 CF DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication reply is specified above, the maximum statutory possibility is specified above, the maximum statutory possibility is specified above, the maximum statutory possibility is seen as the communication of t	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no eve n. eriod will apply and wil tatute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1</u>	4 July 2009						
· <u> </u>		This action is no	on-final					
′ =	/ —			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	·	•						
		in the applicati	on					
	Claim(s) <u>1-23,25 and 27-33</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	s) is/are allowed.	idiawii iioiii coi	isideration.					
	s) is/are allowed. s) is/are rejected.							
· ·	· 							
·	s) is/are objected to. s) <u>1-23, 25, 27-33</u> are subject to res	triction and/or a	lastian requirement					
O) Claim(s	s) <u>1-25, 25, 27-55</u> are subject to res	triction and/or e	ection requirement.					
Application Pap	ers							
9)∏ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Exar	niner.						
10)∏ The dra	wing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b)[\square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applica	nt may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Notice of Draft Information Di	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20, 25, 27-33 drawn to a method and system for operating a system having a population of designs.
- II. Claims 21-23, drawn to a method for evolving a population of hardware designs for operating an electronic hardware system.

Inventions I and II are directed to related method. The related inventions are distinct if: (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are *substantially different* (as submitted by the applicant in pg. 9 of the remark). Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

Application/Control Number: 10/644,162 Page 3

Art Unit: 2129

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUT WONG whose telephone number is (571)270-1123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent David can be reached on (571) 272-3080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,162 Page 5

Art Unit: 2129

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lut Wong/ Examiner, Art Unit 2129 /David R Vincent/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2129